**Appendix 1**



**Initial Equalities Impact Assessment screening form**

*Prior to making the decision, the Council’s decision makers considered the following: guide to decision making under the Equality Act 2010:*

*The Council is a public authority. All public authorities when exercising public functions are boound by the Equality Act 2010. In making any decisions and proposals, the Council - specifically members and officers - are required to have* ***due regard*** *to the* ***9*** *protected characteristics defined under the Act. These protected characteristics are:* ***age, disability, race, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation******and marriage & civil partnership***

*The decision maker(s) must specifically consider those protected by the above characteristics:*

*(a) To seek to ensure equality of treatment towards service users and employees;*

*(b) To identify the potential impact of the proposal or decision upon them.*

*The Council will also ask that officers specifically consider whether:*

1. *The policy, strategy or spending decisions could have an impact on safeguarding and / or the welfare of children and vulnerable adults*
2. *The proposed policy / service is likely to have any significant impact on mental wellbeing / community resilience (staff or residents*)

*If the Council fails to give ‘due regard’, the Council is likely to face a Court challenge. This will either be through a judicial review of its decision making, the decision may be quashed and/or returned for it to have to be made again, which can be costly and time-consuming diversion for the Council. When considering ‘due regard’, decision makers must consider the following principles:*

1. ***The decision maker is responsible for identifying whether there is an issue and discharging it****. The threshold for one of the duties to be triggered is low and will be triggered where there is any issue which needs at least to be addressed.*
2. ***The duties arise before the decision or proposal is made, and not after and are ongoing****. They require* ***advance*** *consideration by the policy decision maker with conscientiousness, rigour and an open mind. The duty is similar to an open consultation process.*
3. *The decision maker must be* ***aware of the needs of the duty****.*
4. *The* ***impact of the proposal or decision must be properly understood first****. The amount of regard due will depend on the individual circumstances of each case. The greater the potential impact, the greater the regard.*
5. ***Get your facts straight first!*** *There will be no due regard at all if the decision maker or those advising it make a fundamental error of fact (e.g. because of failing to properly inform yourself about the impact of a particular decision).*
6. *What does ‘due regard’ entail?*
	1. ***Collection and consideration of data and information;***
	2. ***Ensuring data is sufficient to assess the decision/any potential discrimination/ensure equality of opportunity;***
	3. ***Proper appreciation of the extent, nature and duration of the proposal or decision.***
7. ***Responsibility*** *for discharging can’t be delegated or sub-contracted (although an equality impact assessment (“EIA”) can be undertaken by officers, decision makers must be sufficiently aware of the outcome).*
8. ***Document the process*** *of having due regard! Keep records and make it transparent! If in any doubt carry out an equality impact assessment (“EIA”), to test whether a policy will impact differentially or not. Evidentially an EIA will be the best way of defending a legal challenge*
9. Within the aims and objectives of the policy or strategy which group (s) of people has been identified as being potentially disadvantaged by your proposals? What are the equality impacts?

|  |
| --- |
| It is considered there is no disadvantage to particular customer groups.It is anticipated that no one with a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010 will be placed at a disadvantage |

1. In brief, what changes are you planning to make to your current or proposed new or changed policy, strategy, procedure, project or service to minimise or eliminate the adverse equality impacts?

 Please provide further details of the proposed actions, timetable for

 making the changes and the person(s) responsible for making the

 changes on the resultant action plan

|  |
| --- |
| There is no evidence to suggest that different equality groups could be affected differently or be disadvantaged by the proposed changes to the contract.  |

1. Please provide details of whom you will consult on the proposed changes and if you do not plan to consult, please provide the rationale behind that decision.

 Please note that you are required to involve disabled people in

 decisions that impact on them

|  |
| --- |
| We do not plan to consult as there is no impact on disabled people or those with a protected characteristic. |

1. Can the adverse impacts you identified during the initial screening be justified without making any adjustments to the existing or new policy, strategy, procedure, project or service?

 Please set out the basis on which you justify making no adjustments

|  |
| --- |
| There are no adverse impacts identified on any customer groups.  |

1. You are legally required to monitor and review the proposed changes after implementation to check they work as planned and to screen for unexpected equality impacts.

 Please provide details of how you will monitor/evaluate or review your

 proposals and when the review will take place

|  |
| --- |
| There is no evidence of a negative effect. However, monitoring will take place before and after to ensure that no equality group is disadvantaged. This will be done through performance indicators the provider must provide as part of the monitoring of the contract. Any issues identified can be addressed at the regular monitoring meetings. |

Lead officer responsible for signing off the EIA: David Scholes

Role: Housing Needs & Strategy Manager

Date: 26/07/18